L.R. No. 2838-06

Bill No. HCS for HBs 1344 & 1944

Page 1 of 5 February 25, 2002

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2838-06

Bill No.: HCS for HBs 1344 & 1944

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Firearms and Firework; Motor Vehicles.

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 25, 2002

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005				
General Revenue	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005				
None							
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005				
Local Government	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)				

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

L.R. No. 2838-06 Bill No. HCS for HBs 1344 & 1944

Page 2 of 5 February 25, 2002

ASSUMPTION

Concealed Weapons (Section 571.030);

Officials from the Department of Corrections, Department of Natural Resources, Department of Conservation, Office of the State Courts Administrator, Office of Prosecution Services, Office of the State Public Defender and the Department of Public Safety - Divisions of Missouri Highway Patrol, Missouri Water Patrol, Capitol Police and Fire Safety each assume this proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to similar legislation from this year, officials from the **Springfield Police Department** assumed this proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Project Exile (Section 571.099);

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety – State Highway Patrol** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** would not expect a significant impact on the budget of the judiciary.

In response to a similar proposal from the current session (SB 689), officials from the **Office of Attorney General** and the **Jefferson City Police Department** assumed the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Public Defender (SPD)** state for the purpose of this proposed legislation, they have assumed that existing staff could provide representation for those cases arising where indigent persons were charged with the weapons offenses that could also be charged in federal court . More trials may be necessary. Passage of more than one bill increasing penalties on existing crimes or creating new crimes would require the State Public Defender System to request increased appropriations to cover the cumulative cost of representing indigent persons accused in the now more serious cases or in the new additional cases.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposed legislation would have an unknown impact on the caseload of local prosecuting attorneys.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume the proposed program would require the state to review certain weapons offense cases for the possibility of diversion to federal prosecution if it were likely to result in more restrictive bail, stricter enforcement, or a greater

RAS:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 2838-06

Bill No. HCS for HBs 1344 & 1944

Page 3 of 5 February 25, 2002

penalty being assessed. The DOC assumes it is unknown how many offenders (who might otherwise receive sentencing to the DOC) would be serving federal prison time due to passage of this bill. Federal sentencing guidelines are unique to each convicted offender. In general, offenders with convictions for armed criminal action also have longer concurrent sentences.

In FY 01, there were a total of 1,416 new admissions with a weapons charge to the DOC, with 384 of these having a weapons charge as their most serious offense. 278 of these had a sentence of 3 years for unlawful use of a weapon, so there is a possibility that the Federal sentencing would be more severe. Perhaps the Prosecutors or the Courts would have an estimate of the number of federal diversions.

If additional persons are diverted from the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding decrease in operational costs either through incarceration (FY 01 average of \$35.78 per inmate per day, or an annual cost of \$13,060 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY 01 average of \$3.34 per offender per day, or an annual cost of \$1,219 per offender).

In summary, sentencing offenders to the federal system instead of the DOC would result in additional unknown savings to the DOC. Eight (8) persons would have to be diverted per fiscal year to exceed \$100,000 annually in savings. The amount of potential savings to the DOC due to passage of this proposal is unknown.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
<u>Costs</u> to Prosecutors	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	(10 1410.)		
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2003 (10 Mo.)	FY 2004	FY 2005
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
Savings – Department of Corrections Incarceration/Probation costs (project exile)	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(10 Mo.)		
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005

RAS:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 2838-06

Bill No. HCS for HBs 1344 & 1944

Page 4 of 5 February 25, 2002

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government

FY 2003 (10 Mo.) FY 2004

FY 2005

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal allows individuals age 21 and over to transport a concealable firearm in the passenger compartment of a vehicle. The firearm must be lawfully possessed, and it cannot be carried on the person.

The proposed legislation would also create "Project Exile," a program that would require the state to review certain weapons offense cases for the possibility of federal prosecution.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety
Missouri State Highway Patrol
Missouri State Water Patrol
Capitol Police
Fire Safety
Department of Conservation
Department of Corrections

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Department of Natural Resources
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Office of the State Public Defender
Office of Prosecution Services
Attorney General's Office
Jefferson City Police Department

RAS:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 2838-06 Bill No. HCS for HBs 1344 & 1944 Page 5 of 5 February 25, 2002

Springfield Police Department

Mickey Wilson, CPA Acting Director February 25, 2002